WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	V.			
Ka	arla Denis Montoya Moreno	Case Number:	15-9073MJ	
was presei	nce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 31 nt and represented by counsel. I conclude b der the detention of the defendant pending to	y a preponderance of the evidence		
I find by a	preponderance of the evidence that:	INDINGO OF FAOT		
×	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.			
X	The defendant, at the time of the charg	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
×	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant conta	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
	The defendant has a prior criminal hist	ory.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law	enforcement contact by fleeing from	m law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imp	risonment.	
Th Court at th	ne Court incorporates by reference the mater e time of the hearing in this matter, except a	ial findings of the Pretrial Services As noted in the record.	Agency which were reviewed by the	
	CO	NCLUSIONS OF LAW		
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defenda No condition or combination of condition		earance of the defendant as required.	
	DIRECTIO	NS REGARDING DETENTION		
in a correct pending ap order of a c facility sha	ne defendant is committed to the custody of to tions facility separate, to the extent practical opeal. The defendant shall be afforded a rea court of the United States or on request of ar Il deliver the defendant to the United States	ole, from persons awaiting or serving sonable opportunity for private con- nattorney for the Government, the p Marshal for the purpose of an appea	g sentences or being held in custody sultation with defense counsel. On person in charge of the corrections	
proceeding	ALLALO	AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE		
to deliver a District Co- from the da objections	IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration urt. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P. atte of service of a copy of this order or after with the district court. Failure to timely file o D.R.CRIM.P.	on to Pretrial Services at least one of , effective December 1, 2009, Defe the oral order is stated on the record	day prior to the hearing set before the ndant shall have fourteen (14) days d within which to file specific written	
Pretrial Se	IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to rvices sufficiently in advance of the hearing I and investigate the potential third party custom	pefore the District Court to allow Pre		
DATE:	February 27, 2015	9	Swilled	
		Unite	Eileen S. Willett ed States Magistrate Judge	